

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,978	08/26/2003	Jason B. Chesser	42.P11893D2	2830
45209 INTEL/BSTZ	7590 04/15/2009		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE. CA 94085-4040			PATEL, NIHIR B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3772	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/647.978 CHESSER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit NIHIR PATEL 3772 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 31 and 33-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 31 and 33-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,978 Page 2

Art Unit: 3772

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on December 29<sup>th</sup>, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Anderson does not disclose, teach or suggest an evaporator combination including a plurality of structural elements "integrally formed in the bottom or the top cover". The examiner disagrees with the applicant's argument. Elements 102 and 103 are defined as the plurality of structural elements and it has been held that the term "integral" is sufficiently broad to embrace construction united by such means as fastening and welding. In re Hotte, 177 USPQ 326, 328 (CCPA 1973).

### Response to Amendment

 The examiner acknowledges the amendment filed on December 29th, 2008. The amendment comprises amending claims 31, 36 and 38.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 31and 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (US 5,761,037).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,978

Art Unit: 3772

5. As to claim 31. Anderson teaches an apparatus that comprises a base configured to be thermally coupled to a semiconductor heat source, the base 105 (see figures 1 and 2; col. 3 lines 45-60) including a cavity 106 defined by a bottom and a peripheral portion around the perimeter of the bottom (see figures 1 and 2); a top cover 104 (see figures 1 and 2; col. 3 lines 45-55) secured to the peripheral portion of the base so as to define a sealed volume in which a working fluid is vaporized; a liquid inlet port 106 receive the working fluid in a liquid state, operatively coupled to the sealed volume (see figure 2); a vapor outlet 107 (see figure 2; col. 4 lines 25-35) port from which the working fluid exits the evaporator in a vapor state, operatively coupled to the scaled volume; a plurality of structural elements 102 and 103 (see figures 1 and 2; col. 3 lines 55-67 and col. 4 lines 10-30) integrally formed (see response to arguments above) in the bottom or the top cover, wherein the plurality of structural elements prevent the sealed volume from collapsing when the evaporator is operated such that evaporation of the working fluid occurs under sub-atmospheric conditions; and a wicking structure 101 (see figures 1 and 2 col. 4 lines 1-10), disposed within a portion of the cavity, having a top surface on which the working fluid is drawn through a capillary mechanism and a pressure differential between a pressure of the working fluid in the meniscus and a pressure of vaporized working fluid in the sealed volume (see col. 4 lines 20-45).

Page 3

- As to claim 33, Anderson teaches an apparatus wherein the wicking structure comprises a volume of sintered material (see col. 4 lines 1-10).
- As to claim 34, Anderson teaches an apparatus wherein the sintered material comprises copper (see col. 4 lines 1-10)

Application/Control Number: 10/647,978

Art Unit: 3772

 As to claim 35, Anderson teaches an apparatus wherein each of the base and the top cover comprises stamped metal components (see col. 3 and 4).

- 9. As to claim 36, Anderson teaches an apparatus wherein the plurality of structural elements 102 and 103 are integrally formed (see response to arguments above) in the bottom they extend between the bottom and the top cover (see figures 1 and 2; col. 3 lines 55-67 and col. 4 lines 10-30).
- 10. As to claim 37, Anderson teaches an apparatus wherein the wicking structure includes holes therein to accommodate the plurality of structural elements extending between the bottom and the top cover (see col. 4 lines 1-20).
- 11. As to claim 38, Anderson teaches an apparatus wherein when the plurality of structural elements are integrally formed (see response to arguments above) in the top cover they extend between the top cover and the wicking structure (see figure 2; col. 4 lines 1-20).

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/647,978

Art Unit: 3772

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to NIHIR PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-4803. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nihir Patel/

Examiner, Art Unit 3772

/Michael Brown/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3772